

(1)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 40 FOLEY SQUARE AND 500 PEARL
 STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK

MR. HAPPY MELVYN BOULEV "PRO SE"
WINDSOR LANE UNIT, AMERICAN BAHAMAS
P.O. BOX N-9622,
NASSAU, BAHAMAS

PLAINTIFF FROM 1963
AND UP TO AND
INCLUDING THE
PRESENT(2022).

VS.

COMPLAINT NO.

7TH AMENDMENT CIVIL
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED:
\$500 MILLION DOLLARS
(FIVE HUNDRED MILLION)

THE STATE OF NEW YORK AND
THE UNITED STATES JUSTICE
DEPARTMENT AND THE UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA IS REPRESENTED
DEFENDANTS FROM APRIL 23, 1979
AND UP TO AND INCLUDING THE PRESENT."

*
NO RELIEF OR APPROPRIANCE OR ANY
SETTLEMENT OR [REPOCUTION] FOR FAIRLY

ONE (1) YEAR OF ARBITRARY GOVERNMENT

MISCONDUCT : HUSLEY v. CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT
(1942) AND BOARD OF REGENTS V. ROTH (1972) ETC.

THIS "LEGITIMATE FIRST AMENDMENT CONSTITUTIONALLY

PREMISED COMPLAINT AND CIVIL LAW Suit"] ACUSE] *
ON "APRIL 23, 1979" (TOTALLY ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS
AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL] ARREST, SEARCH, SEIZURE AND
"FAKE AND MISLEADING IMPRISONMENT" WITH CRIME. NO
PRESUMPTION OF TOTAL INNOCENCE. NO PROCEDURAL DUE

(2)

(HARRY NEPHONSO BAWLEG "PEOSE" PLANTIFF V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, THE UNITED STATES JUSTICE DEPARTMENT AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK (INTER ALIA) (P.2) 6-13-2022

THE PRINCIPLES OF LAW, AND "NO EQUAL PROTECTION] OF THE LAWS" OF THE "STATE OF NEW YORK" OR OF THE "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" OR OF THE DECEMBER 10, 1948 HUMAN RIGHTS INTERNATIONAL TREATY, AND *
"NO JUDICIALLY DETERMINED" ARREST, OR SEIZURE OR SEIZURE [WARRANT] - IN TOTAL CONTRAVENTION OF GUARANTEED CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE ←
"FIRST, FOURTH, FIFTH, SIXTH, EIGHT, THIRTEEN AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (INTER ALIA)." "

"FACTS OF THE CASE ON APRIL 23, 1979 AT THE JAMAICA POST OFFICE, LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK AT 1:30 PM (AM) AT THE METROPOLITAN CORRECTIONAL CENTER, MANHATTAN, NEW YORK"

1. ON APRIL 23, 1979, AT 1:30PM, MY P.O. BOX WAS "ADMINISTRIVELY LOCKED" WITHOUT NOTICE OR OTHERWISE, AND DESPITE THE FACT THAT BOX REMAINED PREPAID.
2. I QUESTIONED WHY MY PREPAID BOX WAS LOCKED, WITHOUT NOTICE OR OTHERWISE. A POSTAL OFFICIAL ASKED ME TO WAIT A FEW MINUTES WHILE HE CHECKED.
3. APPROXIMATELY TEN MINUTES LATER, TWO (2) FBI AGENTS FORCIBLY ARRESTED AND HANCOUFFED ME.

(3)

HARRY ALPHONSE BAWLES "PAUSE PLATE" V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
AND OTHERS, PAGE (3) JUNE 13, 2022, COMPLAINT-LAWsuit

4. I DID NOT RESIST OR OTHERWISE. I ASKED "WHAT IS THE REASON FOR THIS UNPROVOKED AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ARREST." F.B.I. SPECIAL AGENT MR. JAMES CALAVIN STATED: "YOU WILL FIND OUT LATER!" "I THEN RESPECTFULLY REQUESTED TO BE TAKEN BEFORE A FEDERAL COURT WHICH WAS NO MORE THAN 5 OR TEN MINUTES AWAY FROM THE DOMINICAN POST OFFICE, LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK. RECORD IGNORED.
5. I WAS TAKEN DIRECTLY TO THE METROPOLITAN COMMERCIAL CENTER CEMEX (M.C.C.) IN MOUNTAIN VIEW, CALIFORNIA, ON APRIL 23, 1979. ALL RIGHTS AND RECORDS [IGNORED].
6. WHEN WE ARRIVED AT (M.C.C.) WE WERE MET BY TWO (2) INDIVIDUALS. I WAS THEN "UNLAWFULLY CONFINED TO A CELL.
7. AGENT CALAVIN AND HIS PARTNER THEN HAD A "LENGHTY CONVERSATION" WITH THE TWO INDIVIDUALS.
8. I OBSERVED THIS "SECRET DISCUSSION" FROM THE CELL.
9. I DISCOVERED LATER THAT SUCH (2) OFFICIALS WERE [MR. STEPHEN F. MARKSTEINER AND LT. NICKELSON].
10. I REMAINED IN "TOTALLY FREE AND MISLEADING CUSTODY" (M.C.C.) UNTIL [APRIL 24, 1979] WHEN I APPEARED (ESCAPED) BEFORE MAGISTRATE SINCLAIR AT 10:00AM.
11. THE "FEDERAL COURT ROOM WAS EMPTY EXCEPT FOR THE F.B.I. AGENT CALAVIN, THE MAGISTRATE SINCLAIR, THE PROSECUTOR (MR. STEPHEN F. MARKSTEINER) AND A "COURT CLERK."

(4)

Harry Riphonso Baileg "Pro Se Plaintiff" v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND OTHERS, (P.4) JUNE 13, 2022, COMPLAINT

10. AS I WAS NOT ALLOWED TO CALL A LAWYER ON [APRIL 23, 1978] OR TO GO TO "MY APARTMENT" BY "AGENT LAVIN", THE MAGISTRATE AND THE PROSECUTOR ASSISTED THE LAWYER IN EVERY COURT ROOM [TO REPRENT ME]. THE LAWYER'S NAME WAS MR. IRV SACKIN.
11. F. B. I. AGENT IN JAMES F. LAVIN THEN "TOOK THE STAND" AND READ FROM A "STATEMENT" DESCRIBING A "BAD CHECK" CASHED AT [CHEMICAL BANK, YONKERS, NEW YORK ON [OCTOBER 27, 1978].
12. MY NAME, HARRY RIPHONSO BAILEG, [WAS] NEVER MENTIONED BY AGENT LAVIN, IN HIS "STATEMENT".
13. MAGISTRATE SINCLAIR THEN ASKED ME HOW DO I PLEAD?
14. I ANSWERED [TOTALLY NOT GUILTY], [TOTALLY INNOCENT].
15. DESPITE BEING EXCUSED, HAVING "FAMILY TIES" AND "SUBSTANTIAL ROOTS" [IN MEXICO], AND NEVER BEEN [A FELONY OFFENDER], OR "ILLEGAL ALIEN", OR A "DEPORTABLE ALIEN", OR A "BAIL JUMPER", AM NOT [TILL LEGAL REQUIREMENTS TO BE IMMEDIATELY RELEASED ON "MY OWN RECOGNIZANCE", I REMAINED * IN "TOTALLY FREE AND UNPLANNED CAPTIVATION" UNTIL * [JULY 15, 1989] DATE "ILLEGALLY DEPORTED FROM AMERICA, TO NARROW, N.P., BAHAMAS.
16. TEN (10) YEARS OF "CALCULATED AND MALICIOUS CUSTODY!" IN THE "FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS." ALL OVER AMERICA!

(5)

MC-HARRY NUPHONSO BAWLES "UNAPPOINTED PLAINTIFF" V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND OTHERS (P. 5) JUNE 13, 2022

17. MAGISTRATE & INCLINE [PROSECUTOR'S CASE TO MAY 2, 1979].

"I REMAINED FALSELY IMPRISONED "DUE TO [COLLUSION] BY THE PROSECUTOR AND THE "EXTREMELY INEFFECTIVE LAWYER, MR. FLA SAKN, WHO DID NOTHING ON MY BEHALF."

18. ON MAY 2, 1979 A DIFFERENT MAGISTRATE APPEARED, HIS NAME WAS [MAGISTRATE BERNARD].

19. ON MAY 2, 1979, F. B. I. AGENT M. THOMAS F. LAVIN CAME TO MY CELL IN (M.C.C.) AND STATED:

"MR. BAWLES, IF YOU PLEND [GUILTY] TO THIS ONE COUNT INDICTMENT IN UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. HARRY NUPHONSO BAWLES, WE WILL GET YOU "PROBATION" BUT IF YOU REFUSE TO PLEND GUILTY, WE WILL BRING A SEVEN COUNT INDICTMENT AGAINST YOU."

20. I REPLIED: "I WILL POSITIVELY NOT PLEND GUILTY TO A CRIME THAT I KNOW NOTHING ABOUT, PERIOD! I DEMON [TOTALLY INNOCENT]!"

21. AGENT LAVIN THEN "SHUTTLED, AND SAID" A SEVEN COUNT INDICTMENT WILL BE BROUGHT AND "YOU WILL NEVER BE OFF IT!" CASE ADJOURNED TO "JUNE OF 1979." FALSE IMPRISONMENT CONTINUED!

(68)

HENRY ALFONSO BAILEY "UNAPPOINTED PLAINTIFF" V. UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA, AND OTHERS (P-1) JUNE 13, 2022

22. [IN JUNE OF 1979] HON. U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE CHARLES E. STEWART GOT CASE IN [EMPTY COURT ROOM] WITH APPROXIMATELY 12 F.B.I. AGENTS SITTING IN FRONT ROWS [LOOKING DIRECTLY AT JUDGE STEWART]. "NEW LAWYER" WAS MR. SIDNEY F. GURION.
23. JUST LIKE "LAWYER TRA SAKIN ON APRIL 24, 1979,
BEFORE MAGISTRATE SINKIN, LAWYER SIDNEY F.
GURION "SAID ABSOLUTELY NOTHING."
24. JUDGE STEWART READ "A SEVEN COUNT INDICTMENT."
HE DID NOT MENTIONED "MY NAME!"
25. I REPLIED "NOT GUILTY" AND "I AM TOTALLY
INNOCENT!"
26. I DEMANDED COPY OF THE "SEVEN COUNT INDICTMENT."
JUDGE STEWART "ANGRILY STATED," "YOUR LAWYER
WILL GIVE YOU A COPY."
27. MR. SIDNEY F. GURION [NEVER] GAVE ME COPY
OF THE "SEVEN COUNT INDICTMENT" NOR A COPY OF
"THE ONE COUNT INDICTMENT." IT IS STATED JULY 1979.
- *28. IN JULY OF 1979, [JUROR NO. 13] STOOD UP IN
"EMPTY COURT" EXCEPT FOR THE PRINCIPALS, AND STATED:
"I AM A PARALEGAL" AND "DO NOT THINK THAT ANY
CRIMES HAVE BEEN COMMITTED AGAINST THE UNITED STATES!"

(7)

HONEY DEPIPOSED BANKS "UNRESPONDED PLAINTIFF V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND OTHERS (P.7) JUNE 3, 2022, CO COURT-LAWsuit.

?

29. JUDGE STEWART E-SPEAK THEN [ANGRILY STATED]: "THE JURY DECIDES THE FACTS, THE COURT DECIDES THE LAW,
HE DISCHARGED JUROR #13, BUT THE REMAINING (12)
JURORS APPEARED [STUNNED]: JUROR NO. 13 LEFT COURT.

→ "THE EXPECTED RESULT: (1) A "TOTALLY FRAUDULENT
AND CONTRIVED AND GROUNDED CONVICTION, WAS
PLANNED AND ORGANIZED". (2) THE VERDICT
WAS "CLEARLY CONTRARY TO THE CONSTITUTION
AND LAWS AND TREATY OF THE [GODLY] UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA. (3) UNLAW INFRINGEMENT
ON MY "CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY AND TREATY
RIGHTS." (4) A LACK OF VENUE IN THE "COUNTY
AND DISTRICT" OVER WHICH THE COURT HAS
JURISDICTION. (5) THE VERDICT WAS PERVERSE."
(6) THE VERDICT WAS "DEFECTIVE," (7) THE
VERDICT WAS "EXCESSIVE," (PASSION OR PREJUDICE).
(8) THE VERDICT WAS A "FALSE VERDICT," (SO CON-
TRARY TO THE "EVIDENCE" AND "SO UNJUST"
THAT JUDGE STEWART "SHOULD HAVE SET IT ASIDE."
(9) THE VERDICT WAS THE RESULT OF [VIOLATION
OR ABRIDGEMENT, OR RESTRICTION, OR EURSION
OF PROTECTED RIGHTS UNDER THE 1ST, 4TH 5TH
6TH, 8TH, 13TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS OF THE
U.S. CONSTITUTION, LAWS AND TREATY.

(8)

HARRY RODRIGO BALES "UNARRESTED PLAINTIFF" v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (P-8) JUNE 13, 2022, COMPLAINT

* 30. [THE PROSECUTION] "IN ITS [EMBODIMENT] WAS NULL AND VOID FROM [ITS BEGINNING] ON APRIL 23, 1979, AND * CONTINUED FROM 1979 AND UP TO AND INCLUDING THE * GROUNDED AND MALICIOUS RAMP DISCRIMINATORY → [DEPUTATION] FROM AMERICA TO NASSAU, BAHAMAS ON JUNE 15, 1989 WITHOUT DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW OF THE [GOVT] UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

* 31. THE PREVIOUS ARTICLE (VI) JUDGE HEARING THIS CASE → HAS "ONGOING JURISDICTION" [TO REOPEN] UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. HARRY RODRIGO BALES, NO. 79 CRM. 295 AND S. 79 CRM. 295 (ON) CHARLES E. STEWART U.S. DISTRICT COURT, FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (40 FOLEY SQUARE AND 500 PEARL STREET, NEW YORK, NY.) AND TO GIVE "JUDGMENT OF ACCOUNTS" [AND OTHERWISE] ON THE "CLEAR GROUNDS OF FRAUD] AND/OR THE "WILLFUL AND IN PENTIONAL" VIOLATION, OR ABUSE, OR RESTRICTION, OR EVASION OF "FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS [HEREIN AND ELSEWHERE].

* 32. REOPENING (OF A COURT) TO REVIEW (AN OTHERWISE FINAL) AN "NON-APPEALABLE JUDGEMENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF POSSIBLY GRANTING OR MODIFYING" RELIEF."

(9)

HENRY RAPHALO BAWLES "UNARRESTED PLUMMER" V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND OTHERS (P.9) 6-13-2022 COMPLAINT IN MURKIN

PARTICULARS OF FIRST AMENDMENT
[CLAIMS] FOR 41 YEARS OF OBSTRUCTION:

33. THE EVENTS ON [APRIL 23, 1979] TO JUNE 15, 1989,
* WHEN PUT TO CONSTITUTIONAL PROOF, WILL
SHOW THAT THE "UNARRESTED PLAINTIFF", MR HENRY
RAPHALO BAWLES, [AMERICAN AND BAHAMIAN] FROM *
(1963 AND UP TO AND INCLUDING THE PRESENT)
* SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN [ARRESTED, OR SEIZED,
OR SEIZED, OR [FROSTY IMPASSED] WITHOUT DUE
PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW
(5TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION):

"... NOR SHALL [ANY PERSON] BE DEPRIVED
OF HIS [LIBERTY OR PROPERTY OR LIFE] WITHOUT
DUE PROCESS OF LAW, OR THE EQUAL PRO-
TECTION OF THE LAW (INTER ALIA)."

SEE MAPP V. OHIO (1970) ETC.

A. WHILE PRO SE PLAINTIFF - APPELLANT, HENRY RAPHALO BAWLES,¹⁹
[APPEALS WERE PENDING IN JUDICIARY OF (1980), I WAS,
BY FALSE PRETENSE AND MISREPRESENTATION (ETC.)
TRANSPORTED FROM THE "JURISDICTION" OF NEW YORK
TO THE "JURISDICTION" OF THE "STATE AND DISTRICT
OF ASHLAND, KENTUCKY TO "FRUSTRATE MY APPEAL RIGHTS
DAM TO DEFILE YES OF "MY COURT DOCUMENTS" ETC."

(10)

HARRY REIKONDO BAUTES "UNSCRIPTED PLAINTEXT V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND OTHERS P. 10]" COHEN-LAWSON

B. THAT SUCH ARBITRARY AND GROUNLESS TRANSPORTATION FROM "STATE TO STATE" [CONTINUED] FOR ENTREE TEN (10) YEARS OF GROUNLESS AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROSECUTION IN ITS EMPIRE.

C. AS NO TIME WHATSOEVER [FROM JUNE 15, 1980 AND UP TO AND INCLUDING [JUNE 15, 1989] DID I, MR. HARRY REIKONDO BAUTES EVER "CONSENTED" TO SUCH ARBITRARY AND DISCRETE AIRCRAFT TRANSPORTATION OR DEPORTATION, PERIOD.

D. FOR 41 YEARS - APRIL 23, 1979 - JUNE 15, 1989, AND FROM JUNE 15, 1989 TO PRESENT, IN THE BRITHMOS, WAS I [EVER] ALLIED MY FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS [OF EXPRESSION, OR TO PEACEFULLY PARTICIPATE IN MY OWN CASE, OR TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT OR THE COURTS FOR A "REDRESS OF MY LEGITIMATE CONSTITUTIONAL AND SUBSTANTIVE [GRIEVANCES].

SEE MOSLEY v. CIRKAS POLICE REPORT (1972)

NEW YORK BOARD OF REGENTS v. ROTH (1972) ETC.

* NO OPPORTUNITY TO EVEN [RESPOND, ETC.]

MARY RUPHUS BAKER "PRO SE AMERICAN" FROM (1963 AND UP TO THE PRESENT, PLAINTIFF V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK (ETC.) [P. 11]

(1) MAIN STATEMENT OF [CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIM]:

ON APRIL 23, 1979, AT THE JAMAICA POST OFFICE AND IN THE METROPOLITAN CORRECTIONAL CENTER, NEW YORK, NY. AT 1:30 PM, I, MR MARY RUPHUS BAKER, FORTUNATELY UNKNOWN - WAS WILLFULLY, INTENTIONALLY, UNCONSCIOUSLY, FRUSTRATELY AND IN [FUNDY VIOLATION] OF ALL MY PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS RIGHTS [SPECIFIED] IN THE UNDER THE [4TH AMENDMENT, U.S. CONSTITUTION] ETC., [WRONGLY] ARRESTED, SEARCHED, SEIZED AND [WRONGLY APPROPRIATED] AND [WRONGLY DEPRIVED] OF "MY LIBERTY" AND "MY AND ALL PERSONAL EFFECTS, FAMOUS "GREEN CARD" AND "OTHER PROPERTY" IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF MY FIRST, FOURTH, FIFTH, SIXTH, EIGHTH, THIRTEEN AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO THE [CONSTITUTION] OF THE "STATE OF NEW YORK" AND THE "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA". IN SHORT, I WAS "CRIMINALLY AND UNCONSTITUTIONALLY" [DEPRIVED] OF "MY LIBERTY AND PROPERTY" WITHOUT DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW, [AND] WITHOUT BEING CHARGED OR CONVICTED OF ANY CRIME WHATSOEVER ON [APRIL 23, 1979]. THAT "FBI AGENT JONES" & "LOVIN" AND A "STATE AGENT" ACTING IN CONCERT AND PARTICIPATION WITH OTHERS, [CONSPIRED] TO DEPRIVE ME OF MY LIBERTY AND PROPERTY,

HARRY ALFONSO BAULES"PROSE AMERICAN PLATE V. STATE OF NEW YORK AND OTHERS [FROM 1949 TO JUNE 15, 1989] (12)

(2) THAT SUCH "CONSPIRACY AND FALSE ARREST AND IMPRISONMENT" ON APRIL 23, 1979, WAS THE "ROOT CAUSE" OF A "GROSSLY FRIMULENT PROSECUTION" IN ITS [ENTIRETY] IN UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. HARRY ALFONSO BAULES, GROUPED INDICTMENTS NO. 79 CR. N. 295 D.A.M. 8-74 CRM. 295 (BEFORE MAGISTRATE RINCOLN) (APRIL 24, 1979) AND MAGISTRATE BERNIKOV (MAY 2, 1979) D.A.M. 160N. U.S. FEDERAL JUDGE CHARLES E. SPEWAK (JULY 1979) U.S. DISTRICT COURT, SOUTHERN DISTRICT NEW YORK AND SUCH "WRONGLY INSTITUTED CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS" [IN 1979] RESULTED IN MY BEING "WILFULLY AND INTENTIONALLY" [DEPRIVED] OF MY 6TH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO "COURTROOM ATTORNEY," [AND] "RIGHT TO REPRESENT MYSELF" AND "RIGHT TO TAKE THE STAND [TO TESTIFY] CONCERNING "THE FACTS OF" AND TO PROVE "MY TOTAL INNOCENCE" OF CASHING A "BAD CHECK" AT A "CHEMICAL BANK" (ONTARIO, NEW YORK) ON OCTOBER 27, 1978. I WAS FURTHER [DENIED] THE RIGHT TO CONFIRM WITNESS WITH WHOM I NEVER MET OR SAW IN MY LIFE. ALL CHEMICAL BANK WITNESSES WERE [ARRESTED] BY THE F.B.I. ON "THEIR BACK BOOKS" "CONFISCATED." "CLEAN PAPEL" OF "MY INNOCENCE."

HARRY REPLIED BARTER "PROST AMERICAN" V. STATE OF NEW YORK (ETC.) FROM (1979-1989) (P. 13)

- (3) FROM (1980 - JUNE 15, 1989, I WAS, "BY FALSE PLEASANCE AND FRAUD", [TRANSPORTED] "ACROSS STATE LINE" FROM "STATE TO STATE" IN URGENT TO HINDER AND OBSTRUCT ME FROM "FIRST ATTEMPT" R16118" OF [ACCESS TO THE COURT] TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A "REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES" FROM APRIL 23 1979 - JUNE 15 1989,
- (4) ON JUNE 15, 1989, I, LA-HARRY REPLIED BARTER, "CONTRIBUTE" BAHAMIAN AMERICAN, WAS "FIRMLY DEPUTED" FROM AMERICAN TO NASSAU, BAHAMAS BY AGAINST MY WIFE WITH MY COURT, WITH A "FEDERAL COURT HEARING OR ORDER," AND WITH [EVER] HAVING BEEN [AT] HAVING CRIMINAL PLEA REVIEW, "ANY DAY NOW" FUND'S PROPERTIES FRAUDULENTLY CONFISCATED BY THE GOVERNMENT WITH [KNOWLEDGE] I WAS NEVER A "CONVICTED FELON" NOR EVER HAVING BEEN INVOLVED IN [ANY] DRUGS, OR FRAUD, OR GANGS, OR VIOLENCE (ETC) IN MY ENTIRE LIFE,
- 5: FROM JUNE OF 1989 TO THE PRESENT, ALL ATTEMPTS AT "ACCESS TO THIS COURT OBSTRUCTED!"

HARRY REPHONSO BAWLES "PRO SE ATTORNEY" V. STATE
OF NEW YORK AND OTHERS (P. 14)

6. IN THIS COURT, IN HARRY REPHONSO BAWLES "PRO SE ATTORNEY" V. UNITED STATES AND OTHERS,
NOS. 13 CIV. 800 AND 13 CIV. 5866 (BKA),
I AM COERCED PLEASE I WAS PERMITTED TO
PROCEED "WITHOUT PRE PAYMENT OF FEES OR
COSTS. SEE "COA ORDER" DATED MAY 29, 2013
7. (BECAUSE) OF "ONGOING GOVERNMENTAL MISHAP"
DUE MY "FINANCIAL STRAIN" REMAINS
"IMPECUNIOUS."
8. THE JURISDICTION IS FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE
U. S. CONSTITUTION" (ETC.) INCLUDING JURISDICTION
IN 13 CIV. 800 AND 13 CIV. 5866; I WAS NOT
OFFERED "THE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF
THE LAWS."
9. I AM DEMANDING MY SEVENTH AMENDMENT CONSTITU-
TIONAL RIGHT TO A [CIVIL JURY TRIAL] AS AMOUNT
SUED FOR IS \$500 MILLION DOLLARS.
10. I AM ASKING THE COURT TO APPOMT A "COUNSEL
AND ATTORNEY-AT-LAW" TO REPORT ME AS LEGAL
UNDER THE 6TH AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION
(ETC.).

HARRY RICHARD BAWLES "PRO SE AMERICAN PLAINTIFF
IN STATE OF NEW YORK" AND OTHERS^{IN} [P.15]

RELIEF SIGHT FROM THE COURT

1. THAT THIS "URGENT FILE AMENDMENT PETITION"
BE ORDERED "STAMPED, FILED AND ISSUED
[CASE NUMBER] FOR IDENTIFICATION AND OTHER
PURPOSES." THAT STAMPED COPY BE SENT TO ME.
2. THAT A "COUNSEL AND ATTORNEY AT-LAW" BE
URGENtLY APPOINTED [TO ASIST] ME AND
THAT "THE CLERK" SEND ME THE NAME AND
ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF SUCH
LAWYER AS "I HAVE NO PREFERENCE, OR
COMPLAINT." I AM INMATE, NOT BY CHOICE!
3. THAT THE HON. COURT FURNISH [ENCLOSURE]
THAT COPY OF THIS "COMPLAINT" BE "PERSONNALLY
SERVED" WITH A "SUMMONS!"
4. SUCH FURTHER ORDERS THAT THE COURT
DEEMS "NECESSARY AND CONSTITUTIONAL TO
ENSURE IMMEDIATE ACCESS."

PREPARED SIGHT BY HARRY RICHARD BAWLES,
WINDSOR LANE WEST, P.O BOX N 962 NASHVILLE,
TENNESSEE (242) 558-5476.



RECEIVED
SDNY PRO SE OFFICE

ENVELOPE BY AIR MAIL

AIRMAIL

TO: THE PERSONAL ATTENTION OF
THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT
OF NEW YORK
500 PEOPLE STREET
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007

AIRMAIL

3
S
AIRMAIL

Attn. Mail

MARY RAYMOND BANKS
P.O. BOX M.G.622
NASSAU, N.P., BAHAMAS
July 12, 2022